CASES

On review of submissions pursuant to section 500.11 of the Rules, order modified, without costs, by remitting to the Appellate Division, First Department, for further proceedings in accordance with the memorandum herein and, as so modified, affirmed. Certified question answered in the negative. Chief Judge Lippman and Judges Ciparick, Graffeo, Read, Smith, Pigott and Jones concur.

Order, insofar as appealed from, affirmed, with costs, and certified question not answered upon the ground that it is unnecessary. Opinion by Judge Smith. Chief Judge Lippman and Judges Ciparick, Graffeo, Read, Pigott and Jones concur.

Order affirmed, in a memorandum. Chief Judge Lippman and Judges Ciparick, Graffeo, Read, Smith, Pigott and Jones concur. 2 No. 51 The People &c., Respondent, v. Shareef Evans, Appellant. Order affirmed. Opinion by Judge Pigott. Chief Judge Lippman and Judges Ciparick, Graffeo, Read and Smith concur. Judge Jones dissents and votes to reverse in an opinion.

4 No. 60 The People &c., Respondent, v. Michael Spicola, Appellant.

3 No. 45 Ryan P. St. Louis, Respondent, v. Town of North Elba, et al., Appellants. Order affirmed. Opinion by Judge Read. Judges Graffeo, Smith and Pigott concur. Chief Judge Lippman dissents and votes to reverse in an opinion in which Judges Ciparick and Jones concur.

Order affirmed, with costs, and certified question answered in the negative. Opinion by Chief Judge Lippman. Judges Ciparick, Pigott and Jones concur. Judge Smith dissents and votes to reverse in an opinion in which Judges Graffeo and Read concur.

4 No. 35 Douglas Warney, Appellant, v. State of New York, Respondent. Order reversed, with costs, and defendant's motion to dismiss the claim denied. Opinion by Judge Ciparick. Chief Judge Lippman and Judges Pigott and Jones concur. Judge Smith concurs in result in an opinion in which Judges Graffeo and Read concur. 2 No. 39 The People &c., Respondent, v. Jair Williams, Appellant. Order affirmed. Opinion by Judge Jones. Chief Judge Lippman and Judges Ciparick, Graffeo, Read, Smith and Pigott concur.

MOTIONS

3 SSD 10 In the Matter of Maria M. Almonte, Appellant, Commissioner of Labor, Respondent.

2 Mo. No. 2011-138 Victor Batshever, et al., Appellants, v. Jafar Jafar, &c., et al., Respondents.

4 Mo. No. 2010-1367 In the Matter of Annastasia C. Cattaraugus County Department of Social Services, Respondent; Ronnie C., Appellant. Motion for leave to appeal denied.

Appeal dismissed without costs, by the Court <u>sua sponte</u>, upon the ground that no substantial constitutional question is directly involved.

Motion for reargument of motion for leave to appeal denied.

Motion for leave to appeal denied. Judge Pigott took no part.

Mo. No. 2011-18 4 Motion for leave to appeal denied. Judge Pigott took no part. In the Matter of Annastasia C. _____ Cattaraugus County Department of Social Services, Respondent; Carol C., Appellant. 1 Mo. No. 2011-118 Motion for leave to appeal denied with one hundred dollars costs and Barbara J. Cirone et al., necessary reproduction disbursements. Appellants, v. Tower Insurance Company of New York, Respondent. Mo. No. 2011-109 1 Motion for leave to appeal denied. Motion for poor person relief In the Matter of Erica D., &c. dismissed as academic. Maria D., Appellant, New Alternatives for Children, Inc., Respondent. 3 Mo. No. 2011-126 Motion for leave to appeal denied. Richard Doin et al., Respondents, v. Champlain Bluffs Development Corporation and J. David Dame, &C., Appellants, et al., Defendants. Mo. No. 2011-102 1 Motion for leave to appeal granted. Eastside Exhibition Corp., Appellant, v. 210 East 86th Street Corp., Respondent.

2 Mo. No. 2011-108 In the Matter of Eveready Insurance Company, Appellant, v. Nicole Smith, et al., Respondents.

Mo. No. 2011-72 3 In the Matter of Fulton-Montgomery Community College, Respondent, v. County of Saratoga, et al., Appellants, Department of Education of the State of New York et al., Respondents. _____ In the Matter of Hudson Valley Community College, Respondent, v. County of Saratoga, Appellant, State University of New York, Respondent.

3 Mo. No. 2011-113 In the Matter of Marie L. Gentile, &c., Appellant, v. Sovereign Motor Cars et al., Respondents. Workers' Compensation Board, Respondent. Motion for leave to appeal denied with one hundred dollars costs and necessary reproduction disbursements.

Motion for leave to appeal denied with one hundred dollars costs and necessary reproduction disbursements.

Motion for leave to appeal dismissed upon the ground that the order sought to be appealed from does not finally determine the proceeding within the meaning of the Constitution. 3 Mo. No. 2011-101 Motion for leave to appeal denied. In the Matter of Deandre GG., &c. Otsego County Department of Social Services, Respondent; Charlice HH., Appellant. 4 Mo. No. 2011-107 Motion for leave to appeal denied. Motion for poor person relief In the Matter of Summer Gillette, dismissed as academic. Respondent, v. Jeremy Gedney, Appellant. _____ In the Matter of Trishia Osborn, Respondent, v. Jeremy Gedney, Appellant. 1 Mo. No. 2011-130 Motion for leave to appeal denied with one hundred dollars costs and Armando Gonzalez, &c., et al., necessary reproduction disbursements. Appellants, v. Societe Generale, Respondent. Mo. No. 2011-81 Motion for leave to appeal denied. 3 Chief Judge Lippman took no part. In the Matter of Robert Haight, Appellant, v. Con Edison et al., Respondents. Workers' Compensation Board, Respondent.

2 Mo. No. 2011-121 The People &c., Respondent, v. Roy A. Martin, Appellant.

2 Mo. No. 2011-105 In the Matter of New Creek Bluebelt, Phase 4.

City of New York, Respondent; Gaetano Demetrio, Appellant. Motion for leave to appeal denied with one hundred dollars costs and necessary reproduction disbursements.

Appeal dismissed without costs, by the Court <u>sua</u> <u>sponte</u>, upon the ground that the order <u>appealed</u> from does not finally determine the action with the meaning of the Constitution.

Motion for leave to appeal denied. Motion for poor person relief dismissed as academic.

Motion for leave to appeal denied with one hundred dollars costs and necessary reproduction disbursements.

Motion for leave to appeal dismissed upon the ground that the order sought to be appealed from does not finally determine the proceeding within the meaning of the Constitution. 1 Mo. No. 2011-25 In the Matter of New York City Health and Hospitals Corporation, Respondent, v. New York State Commission of Correction, Appellant.

Motion by the Legal Aid Society of the City of New York and Prisoners' Legal Services of New York for leave to file a joint brief <u>amici</u> <u>curiae</u> on the motion for leave to <u>appeal</u> herein granted and the brief is accepted as filed, and for leave to file a joint brief <u>amici</u> <u>curiae</u> on the appeal herein granted, three copies of the brief to be served and an original and 19 copies filed within 30 days.

3 Mo. No. 2011-106 In the Matter of Vincent J. O'Connor, Appellant, v. New York State Civil Service Commission et al., Respondents.

3 Mo. No. 2011-104 In the Matter of Mary T. Probst Family Trust, Alice Altieri, as Trustee, Appellant, v. Zoning Board of Appeals of Town of Horicon, Respondent. Motion for leave to appeal denied with one hundred dollars costs and necessary reproduction disbursements.

Motion for leave to appeal denied.

Motion for leave to appeal granted.

2 Mo. No. 2011-112 Regional Economic Community Action Program, Inc., Appellant, v. Enlarged City School District of Middletown, Respondent.

2 Mo. No. 2011-136 Rock City Sound, Inc., Respondent, v. Bashian & Farber, LLP, et al., Appellants.

4 Mo. No. 2011-19 In the Matter of Rosemarie B., Petitioner, v. Carol C., Appellant, Ronnie C. and Cattaraugus County Department of Social Services, Respondents.

1 Mo. No. 2011-122 In the Matter of Elijah Jose S. et al., &c.

Jose Angel S., Appellant, Leake & Watts Services, Inc., Respondent. Motion for leave to appeal granted.

Appeal dismissed without costs, by the Court <u>sua</u> <u>sponte</u>, upon the ground that no substantial constitutional question is directly involved.

Motion for leave to appeal dismissed upon the ground that the order sought to be appealed from does not finally determine the action within the meaning of the Constitution.

Motion for leave to appeal dismissed upon the ground that appellant is not a party aggrieved (see CPLR 5511). Judge Pigott took no part.

Motion for leave to appeal denied. Motion for poor person relief dismissed as academic. 1 Mo. No. 2011-116 In the Matter of Matthew Silberzweig, Appellant, V. John J. Doherty, &c., et al., Respondents.

1 Mo. No. 2011-115 In the Matter of Ed Watt, &c., et al., Respondents, v. Howard H. Roberts, Jr., &c., et al., Appellants. Motion for leave to appeal denied with one hundred dollars costs and necessary reproduction disbursements.

Motion for leave to appeal denied.

Motion for leave to appeal denied.

Motion for leave to appeal dismissed upon the ground that the order sought to be appealed from does not finally determine the proceeding within the meaning of the Constitution.

Motion for leave to appeal denied.